



KPMG LLP
Suite 2000
1021 East Cary Street
Richmond, VA 23219-4023

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

The Honorable Members of the
Board of Supervisors of the
County of Chesterfield, Virginia:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Chesterfield, Virginia (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 28, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We did not audit the financial statements of the Industrial Development Authority, a discretely presented component unit of the County, whose assets and revenues totaled \$21,337,992 and \$310,504, respectively. Those financial statements were audited by another auditor whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion on the basic financial statements insofar as they relate to the amounts included for the Industrial Development Authority, is based solely on the reports of the other auditor.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting and our tests of compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, and other matters did not include the entity audited by the other auditor referred to in the previous paragraph. The findings, if any, of the other auditor are not included herein.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the



determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of supervisors, management, and federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

KPMG LLP

October 28, 2005



KPMG LLP
Suite 2000
1021 East Cary Street
Richmond, VA 23219-4023

**Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program
and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133**

The Honorable Members of the
Board of Supervisors of the
County of Chesterfield, Virginia:

COMPLIANCE

We have audited the compliance of the County of Chesterfield, Virginia (the County) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

As described in item 05-1 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the County did not comply with the requirements regarding allowable activities and costs that are applicable to its Head Start grant. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the County to comply with requirements applicable to that program.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 05-1 and 05-2.



INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We noted certain matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over compliance that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the County's ability to administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 05-1.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, of the reportable conditions described above, we consider items 05-1 to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of supervisors, management, and federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

KPMG LLP

October 28, 2005



KPMG LLP
Suite 2000
1021 East Cary Street
Richmond, VA 23219-4023

Report on Compliance with Commonwealth of Virginia Laws, Regulations, Contracts, and Grants

The Honorable Members of the
Board of Supervisors of the
County of Chesterfield, Virginia:

We have audited the financial statements of the County of Chesterfield, Virginia (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated October 28, 2005.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*; and *Specifications for Audits of Counties, Cities, and Towns*, issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the County's compliance with certain provisions of the Commonwealth of Virginia's laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with such provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The following is a summary of the Commonwealth of Virginia laws, regulations, contracts, and grants (as specified in *Specifications for Audits of Counties, Cities, and Towns*, Chapter Three) for which we performed tests of compliance:

Code of Virginia

- Budget and Appropriation Laws
- Cash and Investments
- Conflicts of Interest Act
- Retirement Systems
- Debt Provisions
- Procurement
- Unclaimed Property Act
- Personal Property Tax Relief Act
- Enhanced 911 Service Taxes



State Agency Requirements

- Education
- Highway Maintenance Funds
- Social Services
- Comprehensive Services Act Funds
- Economic Development Opportunity Fund

The results of our tests did not discover any instances of noncompliance with those requirements that are required to be reported in accordance with the *Specifications for Audits of Counties, Cities, and Towns*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of supervisors, management, and state awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

KPMG LLP

October 28, 2005